ammonium alum crystals ( $\beta$ class) on rubidium alum ( $\alpha$ class) and from the morphological features of the crystal so formed he concluded that the deposited methyl ammonium alum possessed the $\alpha$ structure.

Confirmation of this existence of dimorphism in methyl ammonium alum has now been obtained by causing both structures to be formed in the same single crystal and the present investigation was undertaken in order to determine the details of the $\alpha$ form of the crystal.

## Experimental details

During the course of an investigation by X-ray diffraction of phase changes occurring in methyl ammonium alum at moderately low temperatures (Fletcher \& Steeple, 1961) it was observed that single crystals from one particular batch showed weak traces of a structure other than that of the normal $\beta$ alum and, after having been several times subjected to temperatures in the range 170 to $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$., that one of these crystals did not ultimately return to its initial room-temperature state. On subsequent Weissenberg photographs, taken at room temperature with $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation and with the crystal oscillating about the original [001] direction, there appeared together two strong diffraction patterns both of which were characteristic of the space group Pa3. Both space groups were confirmed as $P a 3$ from rotation photographs and from further Weissenberg photographs obtained with the crystal oscillating about the other two cube axes. The linear dimensions of the respective unit cells, determined to an accuracy of the order of $\pm \frac{1}{2} \%$, were

$$
a=12 \cdot 50 \text { and } a=12 \cdot 17 \AA .
$$

Intensity data for the two structures were collected by eye estimation of the reflexions on the $h k 0$ Weissenberg photograph; the Lorentz and polarization factors were applied but the crystal was sufficiently small for absorption corrections to be neglected. Scaling and temperature factors were obtained by Wilson's method (1942).

## Determination of the structures

Utilization of the structural data published by Lipson (1935b) established that the structure having unit-cell edge of length $12 \cdot 50 \AA$ was that of the normal $\beta$ form of methyl ammonium alum and from a Patterson projection along the [001] direction the sulphur atom of the second structure was located on a triad axis at ( $0.31,0.31,0.31$ ). These are the eightfold fractional coordinates characteristic of the sulphur atom in the $\alpha$-alum structure and since an $\alpha$ form of methyl ammonium alum had already been deposited on a crystal of rubidium alum (Lipson, 1935a) a trial structure was postulated for the former in which the atomic coordinates were those given by Lipson (1935a) for the latter; the atoms of the methyl ammonium group were all considered to be located on the centres of symmetry at $\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ etc. Omitting all accidentally absent reflexions the agreement residual was $0 \cdot 26$ when calculated on the Mercury computer with scattering-factor data in the form published by Forsyth \& Wells (1959).

Refinement was by two-dimensional ( $F_{o}-F_{c}$ ) syntheses and in the closing stages it was possible to locate on a difference map six of the twelve nonequivalent hydrogen atoms of the water molecules, the remaining six being inserted by calculation after inspection of a model of the structure. The value of

Table 1. Comparison of observed and calculated structure factors

| $h k 0$ | $\left\|F_{0}\right\|$ | $F_{c}$ | $h k 0$ | $\left\|F_{\text {o }}\right\|$ | $F_{c}$ | $h k 0$ | $\left\|F_{0}\right\|$ | $F_{c}$ | $h k 0$ | $\left\|F_{0}\right\|$ | $F_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 020 | $46 \cdot 2$ | $-37 \cdot 6$ | 420 | $89 \cdot 4$ | 79.4 | 6,11,0 | 26.6 | $32 \cdot 4$ | 10,7,0 | $<15 \cdot 2$ | $-12 \cdot 6$ |
| 040 | 138.0 | 187.2 | 430 | $13 \cdot 4$ | $-19.4$ | 6,12,0 | $<16.8$ | $4 \cdot 6$ | 10,8,0 | $22 \cdot 4$ | $-20 \cdot 2$ |
| 060 | 144.0 | 131.6 | 440 | $20 \cdot 2$ | 27.0 | 6,13,0 | $<14.4$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | 10,9,0 | $25 \cdot 2$ | 27.2 |
| 080 | 16.0 | $-15 \cdot 2$ | 450 | 17.2 | $-7 \cdot 2$ | 6,14,0 | $<12.8$ | $12 \cdot 8$ | 10,10,0 | $25 \cdot 0$ | 27.8 |
| 0,10,0 | $65 \cdot 8$ | $63 \cdot 0$ | 460 | $20 \cdot 2$ | 11.2 |  |  |  | 10,11,0 | $15 \cdot 2$ | $-19.4$ |
| 0,12,0 | $<15.2$ | -4.6 | 470 | 14.8 | $-3.2$ | 810 | $49 \cdot 6$ | $-44.2$ | 10,12,0 | $<8.2$ | $7 \cdot 4$ |
| 0,14,0 | $20 \cdot 2$ | 21.0 | 480 | $60 \cdot 6$ | 68.6 | 820 | 88.0 | 82.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 490 | 36.8 | 32.0 | 830 | $<13.8$ | $-25 \cdot 2$ | 12,1,0 | 61.8 | $70 \cdot 2$ |
| 210 | $67 \cdot 2$ | 71.4 | 4,10,0 | $<15.2$ | -11.8 | 840 | 32.2 | 29.8 | 12,2,0 | $30 \cdot 4$ | $22 \cdot 6$ |
| 220 | $130 \cdot 8$ | $143 \cdot 2$ | 4,11,0 | $<15.2$ | $-2 \cdot 6$ | 850 | 22.2 | $-6.0$ | 12,3,0 | 23.2 | $-30 \cdot 4$ |
| 230 | 26.0 | $-21.2$ | 4,12,0 | $34 \cdot 0$ | 38.2 | 860 | $<15.2$ | $15 \cdot 8$ | 12,4,0 | 26.4 | 26.6 |
| 240 | 52.0 | -65.0 | 4,13,0 | 16.2 | 23.4 | 870 | $27 \cdot 0$ | $-28.4$ | 12,5,0 | $<17 \cdot 6$ | $8 \cdot 4$ |
| 250 | $<10.0$ | $9 \cdot 4$ | 4,14,0 | $24 \cdot 2$ | $30 \cdot 0$ | 880 | $62 \cdot 8$ | 66.4 | 12,6,0 | 23.8 | 18.6 |
| 260 | 138.8 | 155.8 | 4,15,0 | $<9.0$ | 17.4 | 890 | 26.2 | $32 \cdot 4$ | 12,7,0 | 37.8 | $37 \cdot 4$ |
| 270 | $37 \cdot 4$ | $30 \cdot 4$ |  |  |  | 8,10,0 | $<14.8$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | 12,8,0 | 26.8 | $22 \cdot 4$ |
| 280 | 87.2 | $99 \cdot 8$ | 610 | 118.0 | 108.2 | 8,11,0 | 16.2 | $-17.6$ | 12,9,0 | 18.8 | 11.2 |
| 290 | $47 \cdot 4$ | -48.4 | 620 | 71.4 | $75 \cdot 4$ | 8,12,0 | 31.2 | $40 \cdot 6$ | 12,10,0 | $<8.2$ | 17.0 |
| 2,10,0 | $<15.2$ | 3.2 | 630 | 22.0 | 6.4 | 8,13,0 | $<10.2$ | 6.2 |  |  |  |
| 2,11,0 | $<15.6$ | $-2 \cdot 6$ | 640 | $92 \cdot 2$ | $78 \cdot 2$ |  |  |  | 14,1,0 | $20 \cdot 4$ | $-15 \cdot 8$ |
| 2,12,0 | $29 \cdot 6$ | 25.6 | 650 | $51 \cdot 2$ | $44 \cdot 4$ | 10,1,0 | 46.4 | $-53.6$ | 14,2,0 | 34.0 | 27.4 |
| 2,13,0 | $<14.2$ | -1.4 | 660 | $100 \cdot 2$ | 98.0 | 10,2,0 | $<15.2$ | $-0.4$ | 14,3,0 | $23 \cdot 2$ | 28.8 |
| 2,14,0 | $34 \cdot 4$ | $32 \cdot 2$ | 670 | 75.8 | $87 \cdot 4$ | 10,3,0 | 47.0 | 66.4 | 14,4,0 | $24 \cdot 4$ | $23 \cdot 2$ |
| 2;15,0 | $<\mathrm{II} \cdot 4$ | $-17.0$ | 680 | 28.8 | 28.6 | 10,4,0 | $37 \cdot 6$ | $40 \cdot 4$ | 14,5,0 | 18.8 | -22.4 |
|  |  |  | 690 | 36.4 | -44.6 | 10,5,0 | $<15.6$ | $-1.4$ | 14,6,0 | 18.6 | $15 \cdot 6$ |
| 410 | $102 \cdot 2$ | $-78.0$ | 6,10,0 | $34 \cdot 6$ | $30 \cdot 6$ | 10,6,0 | $40 \cdot 8$ | 31.8 | 14,7,0 | $<8.0$ | -8.2 |



Fig. I. (a) Projection of the electron-density distribution along [100]; contours are drawn at $3,6,9,12,15,20,30,40$ and 50 e. $\AA^{-2}$. (b) Corresponding projection of the atomic arrangement.


Fig. 2. Scattering-factor curve for methyl ammonium ion.
$B$ in the expression $\exp \left(-B \sin ^{2} \theta / \lambda^{2}\right)$ was $2 \cdot 2 \AA^{2}$ for each of the water hydrogen atoms and $1.9 \AA^{2}$ for all other atoms. Excluding those reflexions for which the calculated value of the intensity was less than the minimum observed value in the appropriate range of $\sin \theta$, the agreement residual obtained from the final list of observed and calculated structure factors shown in Table 1 was $0 \cdot 17$; the electron-density distribution and the corresponding atomic positions are shown in projection in Figs. $1(a)$ and $l(b)$ respectively.

During the course of refinement it was necessary to construct an experimental scattering-factor curve for the spherically-symmetrical methyl ammonium ion and for this purpose the $k k 0$ reflexions with $k$ even were divided into groups covering successive ranges of $0 \cdot 1 \AA^{-1}$ in $\sin \theta / \lambda$, the value of the scattering factor at the centre of each range being taken to be the mean of the contributions of the methyl ammonium ion to the relevant structure factors in that range. These data are shown in Table 2 and are plotted in Fig. 2; the value of the scattering factor falls sharply as $\sin \theta / \lambda$ increases as might be expected from the diffuse distribution of electron density which would result from a spherically symmetrical group.

Table 2. Scattering-factor data for methyl ammonium ion
Centre of range of $\begin{array}{lllllll}\sin \theta / \lambda \text { in } \AA^{-1} & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.6\end{array}$
Mean value of $F$ for $\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \text { in electrons } & 7.6 & 6.7 & 3.1 & 2.4 & 2.3 & 1.9\end{array}$

## Discussion of the structure

The fractional coordinates of all non-equivalent atoms and the values of the more important contact dis-
tances and contact angles are shown respectively in Table 3 and Table 4; the water molecules associated with the aluminium atom are designated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Al})$ and those associated with the methyl ammonium group are designated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$. Because of the overlap present in projection it was not possible to calculate the errors in the bond and contact lengths by any of the standard methods, but from consideration of the effect on the value of the agreement residual of small changes in the values of the atomic coordinates it is estimated that the lengths quoted are not in error by more than $0.04 \AA$.

## Table 3. The fractional coordinates of non-equivalent atoms

|  | non-equivalent atoms |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 4. Values of bond lengths and bond angles Bond length

| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Al})-\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{Al})$ | $2 \cdot 62 \AA$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Al})-\mathrm{Al}$ | 1.85 |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ (special) | 1.59 |
| S-O(general) | $1 \cdot 43$ |
| O (special)-O(general) | 2.51 |
| O (general)- O (general) | $2 \cdot 29$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 98$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Al})-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 65$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Al})-\mathrm{O}$ (general) | 2.68 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ - O (general) | 2.75 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)-\mathrm{O}$ (special) | $2 \cdot 70$ |
| Angle |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Al})-\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Al})$ | $90^{\circ}$ |
| O (general)-S-0 (general) | 106 |
| O (general)-S-O(special) | 113 |
| O (general)- O (general)- O (general) | 60 |
| O (general)-O(general)-O(special) | 63 |
| O (general)-O(special)-O(general) | 54 |

Although the projection possesses only orthorhombic symmetry (the plane group is pgm) the requirements of the cubic symmetry of the structure were satisfied throughout the refinement by preserving the relationship $x y, y z, z x$ between the coordinates of atoms related by the operation of the triad axis. The degree of
agreement between these coordinates and those of the corresponding peaks in the electron-density projection should provide a measure of the accuracy of the structure, but comparison was limited by the overlapping, to a greater or less degree, of every atom and it was possible to estimate only the values of the coordinates of one general oxygen atom peak and of two each of the aluminium and methyl ammonium waters. From the electron-density projection the positions of the peaks of the aluminium waters are at ( $0.150,0.028$ ); $(0.480,0.150)$ compared with atomic coordinates $(0.150,0.021) ;(0.482,0 \cdot 150)$. For the methyl ammonium waters the map coordinates are ( $0.045,0.143$ ); ( $0.138,0.200$ ) compared with atomic coordinates $(0 \cdot 045,0 \cdot 136) ;(0 \cdot 136,0 \cdot 198)$.
The structure itself is that characteristic of an $\alpha$ alum (Lipson \& Beevers, 1935). As shown shaded in Fig. l(b) a set of six water molecules is grouped octahedrally around each aluminium atom and a second (unshaded) set of six waters forms a more open group around each molecule of methyl ammonium. Contact between these two groups is made by hydrogen bonds between, on the one hand, each general oxygen atom of the sulphate group and one each of the aluminium and methyl ammonium waters, and, on the other hand, between each special oxygen atom and three of the methyl ammonium water molecules. The lengths of the hydrogen bonds vary from $2 \cdot 65 \AA$ to $2 \cdot 75 \AA$.

The distances between nearest neighbours in the group of six water molecules arranged around the aluminium atom is $2 \cdot 62 \AA$ and that between the aluminium atom and the water molecules is $1.85 \AA$, the octahedral grouping being, of necessity, regular. In the sulphate group the special oxygen-general oxygen and the general oxygen-general oxygen distances are $2.51 \AA$ and $2.29 \AA$ respectively, and as no further shifts of the sulphate atoms were indicated by the ( $F_{o}-F_{c}$ ) map it must be assumed that the tetrahedron formed by these atoms is not regular.

We express our thanks to Prof. H. Lipson for his continued interest in this work.
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